

The Universal Higgs Phase

The Phase Foundation of Higgs Field Bubble Theory

Paul Lillington

Independent Researcher, Sydney, Australia

hfbt.com.au

Publication Edition 1.0 - 22 July 2026

Document status: HFBT foundation paper - Publication Edition 1.0.

Foundation statement: Higgs Field Bubble Theory proposes that the Higgs medium has two fundamental physical properties: density and phase. The Universal Higgs Phase is the common phase reference to which all stable HFBT particle structures are locked. It is not an optional extension of the theory; it is the phase foundation required for stable closure, particle identity and coherent evolution.

Scope: This paper establishes the UHP postulates, places them in a self-contained phase-density action, derives their immediate mathematical consequences and states the resulting physical predictions. It also establishes the structural bridge from universal phase lock to a coherent multi-wave boundary mode and signed surface topology. Full electromagnetic normalization, spin, photons, entanglement, atomic clocks and HFBT time remain subjects of later papers.

Abstract

Higgs Field Bubble Theory (HFBT) describes matter as stable, wave-supported structures within a continuous Higgs medium. Such structures require more than a local field density: they require a common phase reference against which their travelling boundary waves can close, retain identity and remain synchronized. This paper establishes that reference as the **Universal Higgs Phase** (UHP), denoted by $\Phi_H(\mathbf{x}, t)$. HFBT postulates that the Higgs medium possesses one continuous compact phase throughout every connected region and that stable structures occupy two antipodal phase-lock modes separated by π . The UHP is the phase itself; its associated rate of advance, $\Omega_H = d\Phi_H/dt$ in undisturbed space, is a defined descriptor and is not identified with the Planck frequency.

A self-contained phase-density action is introduced. Variation of the action gives a finite-speed phase-wave equation, a coupled depletion equation and a conserved phase current. The characteristic propagation speed is $v_H^2 = \kappa/C$. For the HFBT constitutive branch $v_H = c\sqrt{1-u}$, increasing depletion reduces local propagation speed, shortens wavelength at fixed phase rate and increases accumulated spatial phase. Stationary particle structures are compatible with a continuing universal phase advance through $\Phi_H = \Omega_H t + \chi(\mathbf{x})$. An explicit two-minimum boundary-locking energy selects relative phase states 0 and π . A six-component construction then shows how phase-offset travelling waves can remain one coherent, UHP-locked surface mode. Signed winding distinguishes opposite surface topologies and supplies the proposed structural origin of charge sign, while the magnitude of electric charge and the Coulomb far field remain to be derived in the electromagnetic extension. The combined temporal-lock and spatial-closure conditions predict that independently derived stable particle resonances must form one rationally related phase-locked spectrum. The UHP therefore supplies HFBT with a unified phase basis for particle stability, surface-wave organization, propagation and ordered physical evolution. The proposal is falsifiable through its universal-lock, signed-topology, density-dependent phase-accumulation and two-mode selection requirements.

Keywords: Higgs Field Bubble Theory; Universal Higgs Phase; phase locking; compact phase field; Higgs depletion; particle stability; wave propagation; emergent time

Foundation propositions and results

Identifier	Statement	Scientific role
F1	The HFBT medium possesses a local energy density ρ_H and a compact phase $\Phi_H \in S^1$.	Foundation postulate
F2	In undisturbed space, one continuous UHP supplies the phase reference for all stable HFBT structures.	Foundation postulate
F3	Stable structures occupy two antipodal relative lock modes, separated by π .	Foundation postulate with explicit effective locking model

Identifier	Statement	Scientific role
F4	Every stable particle boundary mode is rationally phase locked to the UHP.	HFBT stability postulate and spectrum prediction
F5	Local depletion changes phase propagation speed, wavelength and accumulated spatial phase, but does not create an independent local UHP.	HFBT constitutive principle
F6	Charge sign is proposed to arise from the signed topology of a coherent boundary mode relative to the UHP, not from the UHP lock sign alone.	HFBT structural proposition
R1	The phase-density action yields a local phase equation and conserved phase current.	Derived in Sections 4.2-4.4
R2	The phase characteristic speed is $v_H^2 = \kappa/C$.	Derived in Section 5.1
R3	$\Phi_H = \Omega_H t + \chi(\mathbf{x})$ is admissible for a stationary depletion structure.	Derived in Section 6.1
R4	A $\cos(2\Delta)$ boundary interaction has exactly two stable relative-phase minima per 2π .	Derived in Section 6.5
C1	A six-component surface ansatz gives phase-offset travelling waves one common UHP-locked carrier.	Boundary construction in Section 6.4
P1	Stable particle resonances must exhibit one common rational-lock structure when calculated independently.	Principal particle-spectrum prediction
P2	Phase accumulation through a known depletion profile must follow the same $v_H(u)$ law for all phase-locked disturbances.	Propagation prediction
P3	Opposite signed winding solutions must produce equal-and-opposite charge-class far fields, while a zero-net-winding solution must have no Coulomb monopole term.	Charge-topology prediction

Table 1. The UHP foundation distinguishes HFBT postulates, results derived within the action and predictions that can test the combined framework.

1. The role of this foundation paper

HFBT proposes a physical Higgs medium in which localized depletions are stabilized by travelling and standing boundary waves. In this picture a particle is not a static object placed into an otherwise passive background. It is a continuously evolving phase structure whose stability depends upon the closure of its waves in both space and phase.

The theory therefore requires a reference that is more fundamental than any individual particle oscillation. If each particle carried an independent phase rate, identical particles could drift out of the same closure class as they moved through different density environments. A universal phase removes that ambiguity. It allows the local speed and wavelength of a boundary wave to respond to the surrounding Higgs density while the structure remains related to the same underlying phase.

This paper makes that phase reference explicit. It replaces earlier descriptions based on a *universal clock*, *universal tick* or assumed Planck-frequency oscillation. Those descriptions mixed together four different ideas: phase, rate of phase advance, a particle resonance and the reading of a physical clock. The controlled HFBT foundation is instead:

The Universal Higgs Phase is the continuously evolving phase property of the Higgs medium. Stable particle structures exist as localized phase and density configurations locked to that common phase.

The phase has a rate of advance, but the rate is not the definition of the UHP. Ordinary clocks, particle resonances and photon frequencies are physical systems that may be related to the UHP; they are not identical to it.

This paper is self-contained. Every HFBT equation needed for the UHP argument is given here. The only earlier HFBT paper cited is the publicly released first foundation paper, which established the depletion and weak-field propagation setting in which the present phase structure operates [13].

2. Why HFBT requires a universal phase

2.1 Stable closure requires a reference

A wave-supported bubble can remain stable only when its boundary wave returns consistently to the same physical state. Spatial closure alone,

$$2\pi R = N\lambda,$$

is not sufficient if the phase at the return point is allowed to drift. Complete closure requires both geometric closure and phase closure. HFBT assigns the common phase reference needed for this second condition to the Higgs medium itself.

2.2 Particle identity must survive a changing environment

HFBT permits the local propagation speed to depend on Higgs-field depletion. A particle moving through a density gradient can therefore experience a change of boundary wavelength even while remaining the same particle. The stable quantity cannot be a fixed local wavelength. It must be the complete phase-lock and topological closure class. The UHP provides the external phase reference that allows this class to be maintained while local propagation quantities adjust.

2.3 One phase field can be universal without instantaneous signalling

The word *universal* does not mean that a disturbance at one point immediately changes every other point. It means that the same continuous phase field extends through the connected Higgs medium and supplies the boundary condition for local structures. Changes to that field obey the local differential equation derived in Section 4 and propagate at finite speed. This is analogous to a field having one connected background state while its excitations remain causal.

2.4 The UHP organizes the HFBT research structure

Once the UHP is defined, later HFBT developments can refer to a single phase foundation:

- particle stability becomes a problem of density balance, geometric closure and UHP lock;
- the two phase modes provide the common phase basis required by later charge and spin structures;
- light propagation becomes phase accumulation through a density-dependent medium;
- coherence becomes preservation of relative phase within the common field; and
- physical time becomes ordered change measured against continuing phase evolution.

These later results require their own derivations. The purpose here is to establish the common foundation on which those derivations must rest.

3. Definition of the Universal Higgs Phase

3.1 Density and depletion

Let ρ_{H0} be the undisturbed HFBT energy density and $\rho_H(\mathbf{x}, t)$ the local effective energy density. Define the dimensionless depletion fraction

Equation (1) - Higgs depletion

$$u(\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv \frac{\rho_{H0} - \rho_H(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\rho_{H0}}, \quad 0 \leq u < 1.$$

Thus $u = 0$ represents the undisturbed medium, while increasing u represents greater local depletion.

3.2 Compact phase

The second HFBT field property is a dimensionless compact phase

Equation (2) - UHP phase space

$$\Phi_H(\mathbf{x}, t) \in S^1, \quad \Phi_H \equiv \Phi_H + 2\pi.$$

The numerical choice of phase zero is conventional. Physical information is carried by phase advance, phase gradients, winding and relative phase lock. This does not make the UHP physically empty: it states that the field is observed through relations, in the same way that a potential offset can be conventional while its gradients and differences are physical.

3.3 Universal phase evolution

In undisturbed space, HFBT postulates one continuing phase evolution:

Equation (3) - Undisturbed UHP condition

$$\Phi_H(\mathbf{x}, t) \rightarrow \Phi_{H0} + \Omega_H t \pmod{2\pi} \quad \text{as} \quad u \rightarrow 0.$$

The UHP is Φ_H . Its undisturbed angular rate is defined by

Equation (4) - Derived rate of phase advance

$$\Omega_H \equiv \left. \frac{d\Phi_H}{dt} \right|_{u=0}, \quad f_H = \frac{\Omega_H}{2\pi}.$$

No numerical value is assigned in this foundation paper. In particular, HFBT does not identify Ω_H with the Planck angular frequency. The quantity must ultimately be derived from the action and boundary conditions or constrained by more than one independently calculated physical system.

3.4 The two antipodal modes

HFBT postulates two stable phase-lock modes:

Equation (5) - Antipodal UHP modes

$$\Phi_H^{(-)} = \Phi_H^{(+)} + \pi \pmod{2\pi}, \quad \partial_t \Phi_H^{(-)} = \partial_t \Phi_H^{(+)}.$$

The modes have the same direction and rate of phase evolution. They are opposite phase states, not opposite directions of time. They also do not, by themselves, equal positive and negative electric charge. Charge requires a complete signed surface topology and a far-field construction. Section 6.6 makes this distinction explicit.

It is useful to write the two modes as $s = +1$ and $s = -1$ multiplying the same phase carrier:

Equation (6) - Two-state representation

$$\Psi_H^{(s)} = s \Psi_0 e^{i\Phi_H}, \quad s \in \{+1, -1\}.$$

This makes the distinction precise: the continuous UHP supplies the common evolution, while the discrete sign identifies the two permitted antipodal lock classes.

4. Self-contained HFBT phase-density dynamics

4.1 Effective action

The simplest local action containing a dynamic depletion field and a dynamic compact phase is

Equation (7) - HFBT phase-density action

$$S_H = \int dt d^3x \mathcal{L}_H,$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}_H = \frac{I_u}{2} (\partial_t u)^2 - \frac{A}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - U(u) + J_u u + \frac{C(u)}{2} (\partial_t \Phi_H)^2 - \frac{\kappa(u)}{2} |\nabla \Phi_H|^2.$$

Here I_u is the depletion inertia, A is the depletion stiffness, $U(u)$ is the local depletion potential, J_u is an effective source term, $C(u)$ is phase inertia and $\kappa(u)$ is phase stiffness. The positivity conditions

$$I_u > 0, \quad A > 0, \quad C(u) > 0, \quad \kappa(u) > 0$$

give positive kinetic and gradient energies in the corresponding Hamiltonian sector. They are the basic local stability requirements.

The action is an effective description measured relative to the uniform UHP background. For a non-zero Ω_H extending through unbounded space, the constant term $C(0)\Omega_H^2/2$ is a background energy density and must be subtracted when calculating the finite energy of a localized disturbance. The undisturbed state $u = 0, \nabla \Phi_H = 0$ is dynamically consistent only if $U'(0) - J_u - C'(0)\Omega_H^2/2 = 0$. This condition constrains the vacuum potential, source and phase inertia rather than adding a new fitted particle parameter.

Quantity	Meaning	Required units
I_u	Depletion inertia coefficient	$\text{J}\cdot\text{s}^2\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$
A	Depletion gradient stiffness	$\text{J}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}$
U, J_u	Potential and source energy densities	$\text{J}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$
C	Phase inertia coefficient	$\text{J}\cdot\text{s}^2\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$
κ	Phase gradient stiffness	$\text{J}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}$

Table 2. Coefficient dimensions. Every term in the Lagrangian density has units of $\text{J}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$.

4.2 Phase equation

Variation of Equation (7) with respect to Φ_H gives

Equation (8) - UHP field equation

$$\partial_t [C(u) \partial_t \Phi_H] - \nabla \cdot [\kappa(u) \nabla \Phi_H] = 0.$$

This is the central dynamic equation of the paper. It shows that the UHP is not an imposed instantaneous signal. It is a local field whose evolution depends on phase inertia, phase stiffness and the local depletion state.

4.3 Coupled depletion equation

Variation with respect to u gives

Equation (9) - Coupled depletion equation

$$I_u \partial_t^2 u - A \nabla^2 u + U'(u) - J_u - \frac{C'(u)}{2} (\partial_t \Phi_H)^2 + \frac{\kappa'(u)}{2} |\nabla \Phi_H|^2 = 0.$$

Equation (9) displays the two-way structure required by HFBT. Depletion changes the phase coefficients through $C(u)$ and $\kappa(u)$; phase motion and phase gradients in turn contribute to the depletion balance. A particle solution must satisfy both Equations (8) and (9), not a phase equation or a density equation in isolation.

4.4 Conserved phase current

Equation (7) is unchanged by a global phase shift $\Phi_H \rightarrow \Phi_H + \alpha$. Noether's theorem [5] therefore gives the conserved current

Equation (10) - Conserved UHP current

$$j_H^0 = C(u) \partial_t \Phi_H, \quad \mathbf{j}_H = -\kappa(u) \nabla \Phi_H, \quad \partial_t j_H^0 + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_H = 0.$$

This is a phase current, not electric current. Its importance is more basic: it proves that the proposed phase sector carries a locally conserved quantity and that phase redistribution occurs through a finite flux.

5. Universal phase and local propagation

5.1 Characteristic speed

In a locally uniform region where u , C and κ are constant, write $\Phi_H = \Phi_H^{(0)} + \delta\Phi$. Equation (8) reduces to

Equation (11) - Local phase-wave equation

$$\partial_t^2 \delta\Phi - v_H^2(u) \nabla^2 \delta\Phi = 0, \quad v_H^2(u) = \frac{\kappa(u)}{C(u)}.$$

The characteristic speed is therefore determined by the ratio of spatial stiffness to phase inertia. This relation is derived directly from the action.

5.2 HFBT constitutive propagation law

For the foundation branch used here, HFBT adopts

Equation (12) - Density-dependent phase speed

$$v_H(u) = c \sqrt{1-u} = c \sqrt{\frac{\rho_H}{\rho_{H0}}}.$$

Equation (12) is a constitutive HFBT postulate. It specifies the coefficient ratio κ/C but not the two coefficients separately. In undisturbed space $u = 0$ and $v_H = c$; in depleted space the local propagation speed is reduced.

5.3 Wavelength adjustment without loss of universal phase

For a locally monochromatic disturbance,

$$\delta\Phi \propto e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}-\omega t)},$$

the field equation gives $\omega^2 = v_H^2 |\mathbf{k}|^2$ and therefore

Equation (13) - Local wavelength law

$$\lambda(u) = \frac{2\pi v_H(u)}{\omega} = \lambda_0 \sqrt{1-u}, \quad \lambda_0 = \frac{2\pi c}{\omega}.$$

For a stationary environment the temporal rate ω is conserved while the local wave number changes. Consequently,

$$u \uparrow \Rightarrow v_H \downarrow \Rightarrow |\mathbf{k}| \uparrow \Rightarrow \lambda \downarrow.$$

This is a central UHP result. Local depletion does not require a different universal phase. It changes how much spatial distance the phase wave covers during a given phase advance.

5.4 Phase accumulation through a density gradient

In the slowly varying limit, the accumulated phase along a path Γ is

Equation (14) - Phase-path integral

$$\Delta\Phi_\Gamma \simeq \omega \int_\Gamma \frac{ds}{v_H[u(\mathbf{x})]} = \frac{\omega}{c} \int_\Gamma \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1-u(\mathbf{x})}}.$$

A path through greater depletion accumulates more phase. A transverse gradient in u therefore produces wavefront curvature, and a longitudinal gradient produces phase delay. The earlier public HFBT foundation paper used this general density-dependent propagation mechanism to recover the standard weak-field forms of lensing and Shapiro delay [13]. The present paper supplies the phase-dynamic foundation for that mechanism.

6. UHP locking in stable particle structures

6.1 Stationary structure with continuing phase evolution

For a stationary localized bubble let

Equation (15) - UHP-compatible particle ansatz

$$u(\mathbf{x}, t) = u_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \Phi_H(\mathbf{x}, t) = \Omega_H t + \chi(\mathbf{x}).$$

Substitution into Equation (8) gives

Equation (16) - Spatial phase equation

$$\nabla \cdot [\kappa(u_0) \nabla \chi] = 0$$

away from a boundary, defect or explicit phase source. A particle may therefore be stationary in density while continuing to evolve in phase. The universal term $\Omega_H t$ and the localized spatial texture $\chi(\mathbf{x})$ perform different physical roles.

6.2 Spatial winding and closure

Let $\varphi_\Sigma(\mathbf{s}, t)$ be the travelling phase on the particle boundary Σ . A closed boundary path $\Gamma \subset \Sigma$ must satisfy

Equation (17) - Boundary winding condition

$$\oint_\Gamma \nabla_\Sigma \varphi_\Sigma \cdot d\ell = 2\pi N, \quad N \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This integer closure is the spatial part of particle stability. Compact phase fields naturally admit such winding classes [6,7]. The detailed three-dimensional topology of each HFBT particle must be obtained from its boundary equations; Equation (17) states the general closure requirement.

6.3 Temporal lock to the UHP

The boundary phase must also return in step with the UHP. The general rational-lock condition is

Equation (18) - Universal rational phase lock

$$q \omega_\Sigma = p \Omega_H, \quad p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad q \neq 0,$$

where $\omega_\Sigma = \partial_t \varphi_\Sigma$ is the boundary-mode rate. This is stronger and more general than requiring every particle surface wave to have the same frequency. Different stable structures may occupy different rational harmonics, but all must belong to one phase-locked system.

Rational frequency locking is a standard behaviour of coupled oscillators [8]. HFBT makes the stronger foundation proposal that the boundary modes of every stable particle belong to one common rational-lock system referenced to Ω_H .

The combination of Equations (17) and (18) gives the HFBT stability architecture:

A stable particle is a localized density-phase structure that satisfies both integer spatial closure and rational temporal lock to the Universal Higgs Phase.

6.4 Coherent six-wave surface mode

The UHP gives several travelling surface waves a common carrier. For the six-component charged-boundary branch used by HFBT, a phase-locked family may be written as

Equation (19) - Six-component UHP-locked surface family

$$\varphi_a(\mathbf{s}, t) = \omega_\Sigma t + \eta_a(\mathbf{s}) + \delta_a, \quad \delta_a = \frac{2\pi a}{6}, \quad a = 0, 1, \dots, 5.$$

Here $\eta_a(\mathbf{s})$ describes the spatial path of component a on the bubble surface, while the fixed offsets δ_a place the six components at successive phase positions. Equation (18) locks their common carrier rate ω_Σ to the UHP. The components can therefore move around the boundary while preserving their phase order and collective node structure.

This resolves an important surface-wave requirement at the level of the phase architecture. The six waves are not treated as six independent oscillators that must repeatedly rediscover their alignment. Equation (19) is the coherent ansatz required for them to become one collective eigenmode: their temporal carrier, fixed relative offsets and spatial closure are constrained together. When local depletion changes v_H , their wavelength can adjust through Equation (13) without destroying the collective phase order. The full three-dimensional boundary equations must still demonstrate that this ansatz is an allowed eigenmode and determine the paths η_a , including the figure-eight and chiral structures developed in later HFBT work.

6.5 Effective origin of the two lock modes

Define the relative boundary phase

$$\Delta = q\varphi_\Sigma - p\Phi_H.$$

The lowest periodic interaction that distinguishes two antipodal lock states is the surface energy

Equation (20) - Two-mode locking energy

$$E_{\text{lock}} = \int_\Sigma \frac{K_2}{2} [1 - \cos(2\Delta)] dA, \quad K_2 > 0.$$

Its stationary points satisfy

$$\frac{\partial E_{\text{lock}}}{\partial \Delta} \propto K_2 \sin(2\Delta) = 0.$$

The stable minima are

Equation (21) - Two stable relative-phase minima

$$\Delta = 0 \pmod{2\pi} \quad \text{or} \quad \Delta = \pi \pmod{2\pi}.$$

Near either minimum, $\Delta = \Delta_0 + \delta$, the energy density becomes $K_2\delta^2 + O(\delta^4)$, so phase displacement produces a restoring force. Equation (20) therefore gives a concrete effective realization of the two UHP modes rather than merely naming them. HFBT must ultimately derive K_2 and the boundary interaction from the deeper particle structure, but the two-state mechanism is mathematically explicit and dynamically stable.

6.6 Signed surface topology and the route to charge

The two antipodal lock modes and electric charge must not be confused. The lock variable Δ identifies which UHP-relative minimum a structure occupies. The winding integer N in Equation (17) identifies the orientation and number of phase turns around a closed surface path. Once the bubble's outward normal and the UHP direction of phase advance are fixed, the sign of N identifies a physical chirality rather than a mere choice of path label. Reversing the complete phase ordering changes N to $-N$ and produces the conjugate surface topology.

For the six-component mode of Equation (19), the UHP performs the missing organizing role: it preserves the phase ordering that allows the travelling components to behave as a stable signed topology. The proposed HFBT chain is therefore

universal phase reference $\rightarrow$ rational carrier lock $\rightarrow$ coherent six-wave boundary mode $\rightarrow$
signed winding and chirality $\rightarrow$ charge-class far field.

This chain is a structural result, not yet a completed electromagnetic derivation. To identify the signed topology with measured electric charge, the later electromagnetic extension must show that the two conjugate winding solutions generate equal-and-opposite $1/r$ potentials, recover the Coulomb $1/r^2$ force, and determine one universal charge scale q_0 . A zero-net-winding solution must have no Coulomb monopole term. If those requirements fail, signed winding cannot be the HFBT origin of electric charge.

The distinction is decisive: the UHP supplies the reference and the phase lock; the collective boundary topology supplies the proposed charge sign; the far-field solution must supply the measured electric interaction.

6.7 What fixes particle size

The UHP does not determine a particle radius by itself. Radius selection requires simultaneous satisfaction of:

1. depletion-energy balance from Equation (9);
2. boundary-wave energy and tension;
3. spatial winding from Equation (17);
4. rational UHP lock from Equation (18);
5. coherent multi-wave phase ordering from Equation (19); and

6. one of the two stable relative modes in Equation (21).

This combined eigenvalue problem is the correct route to an HFBT particle spectrum. The UHP narrows that problem by requiring all stable solutions to use one common phase reference.

7. Physical consequences of the UHP foundation

7.1 Stability and resistance to phase drift

Equation (20) gives an energy cost for relative phase drift. A locked particle displaced from its allowed phase mode experiences a restoring tendency. If the disturbance is small, the structure re-synchronizes. If the disturbance exceeds the available lock energy, the particle may change mode, reorganize or decay. HFBT thereby links stability and decay to the same phase-lock mechanism rather than treating decay as an unrelated rule.

7.2 Identity of particles across space

Two electrons in different locations are identified not by having identical instantaneous wavelengths in every environment, but by occupying the same topological and rational-lock class. Local wavelength may adjust through Equation (13), while the integers N, p, q and the relative mode remain the identifiers of the solution. This provides a physical HFBT basis for the universal identity of a particle species.

7.3 Ordered evolution and HFBT time

The continuously ordered advance of Φ_H gives HFBT a universal ordering variable. A physical process can be described by its accumulated change relative to the UHP. This is the proposed foundation of HFBT time.

The distinction must remain clear. The UHP is not an atomic clock, and f_H is not automatically an atomic transition frequency. An atomic clock is a structured physical system whose electron, nucleus and electromagnetic coupling must be derived and then related to the UHP. The present paper establishes the reference against which that later calculation can be made.

7.4 Photons and phase propagation

Equation (11) proves that the HFBT phase-density system contains a finite-speed wave channel. HFBT proposes that photon propagation must ultimately be described as a structured disturbance of this phase-density medium. The scalar equation given here is the carrier foundation, not yet the full electromagnetic photon model; transverse polarization, gauge structure, quantization and $E = \hbar\omega$ must emerge in the electromagnetic extension.

7.5 Coherence and entanglement

The UHP supplies a common phase reference that can preserve the relative phase of systems created together. It does not require instantaneous transfer of a new signal, because changes to Φ_H obey Equation (8). Nor does a shared reference by itself create quantum entanglement. The HFBT entanglement model must add the non-separable joint structure that produces Bell correlations. The UHP's foundation role is phase preservation and synchronization, not faster-than-light communication.

8. Relationship to established field theory and relativity

8.1 Relation to the Standard Model Higgs field

The Standard Model Higgs field is an electroweak scalar doublet whose vacuum expectation value participates in spontaneous symmetry breaking [1-4]. HFBT makes the additional physical proposal that the underlying Higgs medium possesses effective density and phase variables capable of supporting localized bubble structures.

The UHP is therefore not simply identified with an arbitrary gauge phase of the Standard Model Higgs doublet. A complete theory must map u and Φ_H to gauge-invariant quantities and recover the observed Higgs boson and electroweak interactions. The present paper defines the effective HFBT sector that such a mapping must reproduce.

8.2 Relative observables

The global shift symmetry of Equation (7) means that the chosen number called zero phase has no observable consequence. Observable UHP quantities are $\partial_t \Phi_H$, $\nabla \Phi_H$, winding, phase current and the relative lock Δ . The two-mode interaction in Equation (20) is also relative: both φ_Σ and Φ_H may be shifted together without changing the energy.

8.3 Relativistic constraint

The action in Equation (7) is written in an effective medium description. Observable physics must nevertheless reproduce the tested local invariance of light propagation and clock comparisons [9-12]. The UHP proposal makes a specific route available: every measuring device and every propagating signal is composed of structures locked to the same medium phase, while local disturbances share the same characteristic propagation law.

That mechanism must be demonstrated quantitatively, not assumed. A relativistic completion must show how the background, excitations and measuring systems combine so that no detectable forbidden preferred-frame signal remains. The UHP does not discard relativity's experimental results; it proposes a deeper phase-medium explanation that must reproduce them.

9. Predictions and experimental programme

The UHP is a foundation postulate, but it is not insulated from testing. Its combination with the phase-density action produces linked requirements that cannot be adjusted independently.

9.1 Universal rational-lock spectrum

Once the boundary equations are fixed, independently calculated stable particles must satisfy Equation (18) with one common Ω_H . A different freely fitted UHP rate for every particle would falsify universality. The strongest internal test is therefore to derive at least two particle modes-ultimately the electron and proton-using the same action and demonstrate one consistent rational-lock structure.

9.2 Density-dependent phase accumulation

For a measured or independently calculated depletion profile, every suitable phase-locked disturbance must accumulate phase according to Equation (14). The same constitutive law must account for propagation delay and curvature without being retuned for each experiment. Interferometric phase delay, gravitational lensing and Shapiro-type propagation provide different tests of the same underlying relation.

9.3 Two-mode selection

The two antipodal modes require a non-zero K_2 or an equivalent microscopic interaction. A completed particle solution must show the resulting two minima and determine the energy scale for displacement or mode transition. If stable solutions instead require a continuous unrestricted relative phase, the two-mode HFBT postulate must be revised.

9.4 Signed topology and charge-class far field

Once the electromagnetic boundary equations are fixed, solutions with winding $+N$ and $-N$ must produce equal-magnitude, opposite-sign Coulomb monopole coefficients without separate fitting. A zero-net-winding solution must have no Coulomb monopole term. This is the decisive test of the proposed bridge between coherent surface-wave topology and electric charge.

9.5 Phase transients

Rapid particle formation, collision or decay changes u , $\mathcal{C}(u)$ and $\kappa(u)$. Equations (8) and (9) therefore predict accompanying phase transients. Their spectrum and amplitude will become calculable once the coefficients and boundary conditions are fixed. A common transient or sideband signature across otherwise different phase-locked systems would be a direct UHP candidate signal.

9.6 No independent local UHP

The theory predicts local changes in wavelength and phase accumulation, not separate local universal phases. If an HFBT calculation can remain consistent only by assigning unrelated intrinsic phase references to different regions or particles, the UHP foundation has failed.

10. Falsifiability and outstanding technical tests

The Universal Higgs Phase should be rejected or substantially revised if any of the following occurs after the coefficient functions and boundary dynamics are fixed independently:

1. the phase-density energy cannot be bounded while supporting the proposed UHP background;
2. stable localized solutions cannot satisfy spatial closure and UHP lock simultaneously;
3. different particle species require unrelated fitted values of Ω_H ;
4. the two antipodal modes cannot arise from a stable relative-phase interaction or topology;
5. opposite signed winding solutions fail to produce equal-and-opposite charge-class far fields;
6. one density-dependent speed law cannot reproduce the required propagation tests;
7. the model predicts preferred-frame, anisotropy or clock effects already excluded experimentally; or
8. the effective phase cannot be connected to gauge-invariant observable physics.

The next technical programme must continue to test five points:

- the signs, dimensions and boundedness of the phase-density action;
- the derivation and physical interpretation of the two-mode coupling;
- the compatibility of the medium description with Lorentz tests;
- the derivation of the charge-class far field from signed surface winding; and
- the distinction between a foundation postulate and a quantitatively confirmed prediction.

These requirements identify exactly where the UHP makes scientific commitments and where later HFBT papers must supply quantitative completion.

11. Conclusion

This paper establishes the Universal Higgs Phase as the phase foundation of Higgs Field Bubble Theory. HFBT assigns the Higgs medium two effective physical properties: a local density ρ_H and a compact phase Φ_H . The continuous UHP supplies one common reference throughout the connected medium, while stable structures occupy two antipodal relative lock modes.

The self-contained action produces three immediate results. First, the UHP obeys a local finite-speed field equation and carries a conserved phase current. Second, the characteristic speed is $v_H^2 = \kappa/C$; with the HFBT constitutive law $v_H = c\sqrt{1-u}$, greater depletion reduces speed, shortens wavelength at fixed phase rate and increases accumulated spatial phase. Third, a stationary particle can continue to evolve with the universal phase through $\Phi_H = \Omega_H t + \chi(\mathbf{x})$.

The particle-locking construction adds the decisive stability condition. Spatial winding closes the boundary wave, rational temporal locking relates it to the UHP, one coherent ansatz organizes the six phase-offset travelling components, and the $\cos(2\Delta)$ interaction produces exactly two stable relative-phase modes. Stable particles are therefore defined as localized solutions satisfying density balance, spatial closure, rational UHP lock, collective surface-wave coherence and antipodal mode selection together.

The resulting picture is assertive and testable. The UHP is not an ordinary clock and is not assumed to oscillate at the Planck frequency. It is the common phase from which particle resonance, coherent surface-wave organization, propagation and ordered evolution are proposed to arise. The principal spectrum prediction is that independently derived stable particle structures must reveal one common rational-lock system. The charge-topology prediction is that opposite signed winding solutions must generate equal-and-opposite charge-class far fields without separate fitting. The propagation prediction is that density-dependent phase accumulation must obey one universal constitutive law across different experiments.

The Universal Higgs Phase is consequently not a peripheral hypothesis within HFBT. It is the common phase structure that holds the theory's wave-supported particles, signed boundary topologies and propagation mechanisms together. Later HFBT papers on charge, spin, photons, entanglement and time should use the definitions and equations established here as their phase foundation.

Appendix A. Notation and scientific status

Symbol	Definition	Units	Status in this paper
ρ_{H0}	Undisturbed HFBT energy density	$\text{J}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$	Foundation field scale
ρ_H	Local HFBT energy density	$\text{J}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$	Model field
u	Depletion fraction	1	Definition, Equation (1)
Φ_H	Universal Higgs Phase	rad; dimensionless	Foundation postulate
Ω_H	Undisturbed rate $d\Phi_H/dt$	s^{-1}	Defined; numerical value open
$C(u)$	Phase inertia coefficient	$\text{J}\cdot\text{s}^2\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$	Open coefficient function

Symbol	Definition	Units	Status in this paper
$\kappa(u)$	Phase stiffness coefficient	$\text{J}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}$	Open coefficient function
v_H	Local phase characteristic speed	$\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$	κ/C derived; density law postulated
χ	Localized spatial phase texture	rad	Solution field
φ_Σ	Particle boundary-wave phase	rad	Boundary variable
η_a	Spatial phase path of surface component a	rad	Six-wave boundary function
δ_a	Fixed relative phase offset of component a	rad	$2\pi a/6$ in Equation (19)
N	Boundary winding number	1	Integer closure index
p, q	Rational UHP lock integers	1	Particle-mode indices
Δ	Relative particle-UHP phase	rad	Lock variable
K_2	Two-mode surface locking scale	$\text{J}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$	To be derived microscopically
q_0	Universal electric-charge scale	C	To be derived in the electromagnetic extension

References

1. Higgs, P. W. (1964). Broken symmetries and the masses of gauge bosons. *Physical Review Letters*, 13, 508-509. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.13.508>
2. Englert, F., & Brout, R. (1964). Broken symmetry and the mass of gauge vector mesons. *Physical Review Letters*, 13, 321-323. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.13.321>
3. Guralnik, G. S., Hagen, C. R., & Kibble, T. W. B. (1964). Global conservation laws and massless particles. *Physical Review Letters*, 13, 585-587. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.13.585>
4. Goldstone, J., Salam, A., & Weinberg, S. (1962). Broken symmetries. *Physical Review*, 127, 965-970. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.127.965>
5. Noether, E. (1918). Invariante Variationsprobleme. *Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse*, 235-257.
6. Kibble, T. W. B. (1976). Topology of cosmic domains and strings. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 9, 1387-1398. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/9/8/029>
7. Mermin, N. D. (1979). The topological theory of defects in ordered media. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 51, 591-648. <https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.51.591>

8. Adler, R. (1946). A study of locking phenomena in oscillators. *Proceedings of the IRE*, 34, 351-357. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JRPROC.1946.229930>
9. Michelson, A. A., & Morley, E. W. (1887). On the relative motion of the Earth and the luminiferous ether. *American Journal of Science*, 34, 333-345. <https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.s3-34.203.333>
10. Eisele, C., Nevsky, A. Y., & Schiller, S. (2009). Laboratory test of the isotropy of light propagation at the 10^{-17} level. *Physical Review Letters*, 103, 090401. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.090401>
11. Shapiro, I. I. (1964). Fourth test of general relativity. *Physical Review Letters*, 13, 789-791. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.13.789>
12. Pound, R. V., & Rebka, G. A. (1959). Gravitational red-shift in nuclear resonance. *Physical Review Letters*, 3, 439-441. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.3.439>
13. Lillington, P. E. (2026). *HFBT The Structure of the Higgs Field*. rxiVerse:2607.0023. <https://rxiverse.org/abs/2607.0023>

AI-assistance statement

AI-assisted tools were used to organize the argument, check algebra and dimensions, audit terminology and citations, and prepare the editable Word and PDF manuscripts. The HFBT concepts, physical interpretation, authorship and research direction are those of Paul Lillington. The author retains full responsibility for the scientific claims and publication of this work.